

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/628,636	07/25/2003	Jed Richardson	1251.165	4894
7	590 10/14/2005		EXAMINER	
Michael S. Slavin			CASTELLANO, STEPHEN J	
McHale & Slavin, P.A. 2855 PGA Blvd.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33410			3727	•

DATE MAILED: 10/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			4			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/628,636	RICHARDSON ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Stephen J. Castellano	3727			
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHICH - Extension after SIX - If NO period - Failure to Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE on Soft time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	Lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)□ R	desponsive to communication(s) filed on					
· <u> </u>		action is non-final.				
3)□ S	ince this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits is			
-	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositior	n of Claims					
4)⊠ C	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)∐ C	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ C	Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 17-21</u> is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) <u>5-16</u> is/are objected to.					
8)□ C	laim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application	n Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)∐ Th	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Al	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)∐ Th	ne oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) <u></u> □	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:		-(d) or (f).			
	. Certified copies of the priority documents					
	. Certified copies of the priority documents					
3.	. Copies of the certified copies of the priori		d in this National Stage			
* 0 ~	application from the International Bureau		a.			
566	e the attached detailed Office action for a list of	une certified copies not receive	a.			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/25/03.

Attachment(s)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

6) Other: ____.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/628,636

Art Unit: 3727

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Robbins, III et al. (Robbins).

Robbins discloses a trash container kit comprising three separate pieces, a first piece is a trash container body having front, back, floor and side panels, a second piece is a bag liner for the trash container body and the third piece is a cover having a rim 2 and a hinged lid 15 (see col. 5, third full paragraph). The trash container kit can be shipped in a disassembled state.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 and 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robbins in view of Kumakawa.

This rejection is made with respect to claims 1, 2 and 21 if it should be deemed that the trash container panels must be capable of being disassembled. Robbins discloses the invention except for the post and socket arrangement

Kumakawa teaches panels that disassemble with a post and socket arrangement for attachment and assembly of panels. It would have been obvious to modify the panels of Robbins to disassemble in order to form a knockdown container body to form a configuration for the body

which can be disassembled into a number of flat panels to eliminate wasted space and decrease the amount of space needed to ship numerous container bodies.

Re claim 4, Official notice is taken that cross-bracing is well known. It would have been obvious to add cross-bracing to reinforce the panels and the assembled trash container body.

Re claims 17-20, Official notice is taken that the latch and hinge arrangement are well known. It would have been obvious to add the latch and to provide a hinge pin arrangement to provide securement of the lid so that unauthorized or inadvertent opening is eliminated and to provide a hinge pin arrangement to form a pivoting action that is smooth and aligned so that the lid doesn't become bound or frozen in any position and so that the lid closes and latches properly.

Claims 5-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Castellano whose telephone number is 571-272-4535. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 6:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. Newhouse can be reached on 571-272-4544. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/628,636 Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen J. Castellano Primary Examiner Art Unit 3727